

REMARKS

Applicant's representative thanks the Examiner for granting a telephone interview on March 7, 2006. During that interview, the Lee and Reisman references were discussed, specifically, Object Control Language (OCL). This was followed by a discussion of the claimed invention, specifically the meaning of "task" and "context" and how they contribute to enabling a user to create a Web site.

Claims 1, 4-5, 7-12 and 32-36 are pending. Claim 1 is amended. Claims 2 and 3 are cancelled.

The amendments to claim 1 are supported by the application as originally filed, for instance, at pages 24 to 33 of the specification. No new matter has been added.

The Office Action included the following rejections:

- Claims 1-5, 7-9, 12, and 32-36 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as anticipated by Lee, U.S. Patent No. 5,862,377; and
- Claims 10 and 11 were rejected under 35 USC § 103 as obvious in view of Lee and Reisman, U.S. Patent No. 6,594,692.

35 USC § 102(e)

Claim 1, as newly amended, defines a software architecture having at least one feature which Lee fails to disclose or suggest, namely:

an integrated platform for enabling the user each of the multiple users to perform at least one of the tasks a task; wherein a task has one or more stages and is completed by progressing from a first context to a second context, by controlling interaction between two or more of the applications and the extensible data model, and for maintaining a context and a context task list in the user's progress of completing a task for the purpose of creating a web site.

The overall goal of the user is to create a "first version" of a complete Web site. To accomplish this, the user performs a series of tasks (e.g. for an e-commerce site, a task may be completing a "Product Catalog" page or an "Order and Payment" page), where each task has one or more stages. These stages are completed as the user progresses through a series of contexts. The "integrated platform" of claim 1 enables a user to do this and keeps track of what "context" a user is in during the Web site building process.


The remaining claims are dependent upon claim 1 and are, therefore, patentable for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above Amendments and Remarks, Applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Early notification to that effect is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, Applicant's attorney can be reached at the number below.

If any fees are due in connection with the filing of this amendment (including any fees due for an extension of time), such fees may be charged to Deposit Account No. 500388 (Order No. BIG1P001).

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

Rupak Nag
Reg. No. 37,364

P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250